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#### Abstract

A universal cycle for permutations is a word of length $n$ ! such that each of the $n$ ! possible relative orders of $n$ distinct integers occurs as a cyclic interval of the word. We show how to construct such a universal cycle in which only $n+1$ distinct integers are used. This is best possible and proves a conjecture of Chung, Diaconis and Graham.
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## 1. Introduction

A de Bruijn cycle of order $n$ is a word in $\{0,1\}^{2^{n}}$ in which each $n$-tuple in $\{0,1\}^{n}$ appears exactly once as a cyclic interval (see [2]). The idea of a universal cycle generalizes the notion of a de Bruijn cycle.

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of combinatorial objects with $|\mathcal{F}|=N$, each of which is represented (not necessarily in a unique way) by an $n$-tuple over some alphabet $A$. A universal cycle (or ucycle) for $\mathcal{F}$ is a word $u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{N}$ with each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ represented by exactly one $u_{i+1} u_{i+2} \ldots u_{i+n}$ where, here and throughout, index addition is interpreted modulo $N$. With this terminology a de Bruijn cycle is a ucycle for words of length $n$ over $\{0,1\}$ with a word represented by itself. The definition of ucycle was introduced by Chung, Diaconis and Graham in [1]. Their paper, and the references therein, forms an good overview of the topic of universal cycles. The cases considered by them include $\mathcal{F}$ being the set of permutations of an $n$-set, $r$-subsets of an $n$-set, and partitions of an $n$-set.

In this paper we will be concerned with ucycles for permutations: our family $\mathcal{F}$ will be $S_{n}$, which we will regard as the set of all $n$-tuples of distinct elements of $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots n\}$. It is not immediately obvious how we should represent permutations with words. The most natural thing to do would be to take $A=[n]$ and represent a permutation by itself, but it is easily verified that (except when $n \leq 2$ ) it is not possible to have a ucycle in this case. Indeed, if every cyclic interval of a word is to represent a permutation then our word must repeat with period $n$, and so only $n$ distinct permutations can be represented. Another possibility which we mention in passing would be to represent the permutation $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ by $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}$. It is clear that the permutation is determined by this. It was shown by Jackson [3] (using similar techniques to those used for de Bruijn cycles) that these ucycles exist for all $n$. Recently an efficient algorithm for constructing such ucycles was given by Williams [4]. He introduced the term shorthand universal cycles for permutations to describe them. Alternatively, Chung, Diaconis and Graham in [1] consider ucycles

[^0]for permutations using a larger alphabet where each permutation is represented by any $n$-tuple in which the elements have the same relative order. Our aim is to prove their conjecture that such ucycles always exist when the alphabet is of size $n+1$, the smallest possible. In contrast to the situation with shorthand universal cycles, the techniques used for de Bruijn cycles do not seen to help with this so a different approach is needed.

To describe the problem more formally we need the notion of order-isomorphism. If $a=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ and $b=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}$ are $n$-tuples of distinct integers, we say that $a$ and $b$ are order-isomorphic if

$$
a_{i}<a_{j} \Leftrightarrow b_{i}<b_{j}
$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Note that no two distinct permutations in $S_{n}$ are order-isomorphic, and that any $n$-tuple of distinct integers is order-isomorphic to exactly one permutation in $S_{n}$. Hence, the set of $n$-tuples of distinct integers is partitioned into $n$ ! order-isomorphism classes which correspond to the elements of $S_{n}$.

We say that a word $u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{n}$ ! over an alphabet $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a ucycle for $S_{n}$ if there is exactly one $u_{i+1} u_{i+2} \ldots u_{i+n}$ order-isomorphic to each permutation in $S_{n}$. For example 012032 is a ucycle for $S_{3}$. Let $M(n)$ be the smallest integer $m$ for which there is a ucycle for $S_{n}$ with $|A|=m$. Note that if $|A|=n$ then each permutation is represented by itself and so, as we noted earlier, no ucycle is possible (unless $n \leq 2$ ). We deduce that $M(n) \geq n+1$ for all $n \geq 3$. Chung, Diaconis and Graham in [1] give the upper bound $M(n) \leq 6 n$ and conjecture that $M(n)=n+1$ for all $n \geq 3$. Our main result is that this conjecture is true.

Theorem 1. For all $n \geq 3$ there exists a word of length $n$ ! over the alphabet $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that each element of $S_{n}$ is order-isomorphic to exactly one of the $n!$ cyclic intervals of length $n$.

We prove this by constructing such a word inductively. The details of our construction are in the next section. Having shown that such a word exists, it is natural to ask how many there are. In the final section we give some bounds on this.

Our construction works for $n \geq 5$. For smaller values of $n$ it is a relatively simple matter to find such words by hand. For completeness examples are 012032 for $n=3$, and 012301423042103421302143 for $n=4$.

## 2. A construction of a universal cycle

We will show how to construct a word of length $n$ ! over the alphabet $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that for each $a \in S_{n}$ there is a cyclic interval which is order-isomorphic to $a$.

Before describing the construction we make a few preliminary definitions.
As is standard for universal cycle problems we let $G_{n}=(V, E)$, the transition graph, be the directed graph with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right): a_{i} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}, \text { and } a_{i} \neq a_{j} \text { for all } i \neq j\right\} \\
& E=\left\{\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)\left(b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}\right): a_{i+1}=b_{i} \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that every vertex of $G_{n}$ has out-degree and in-degree both equal to 2 .
The vertices on a directed cycle in $G_{n}$ plainly correspond to the $n$-tuples which occur as cyclic intervals of some word. Our task, therefore, is to find a directed cycle in $G_{n}$ of length $n$ ! such that for each $a \in S_{n}$ there is some vertex of our cycle which is order-isomorphic to $a$. This is in contrast to many universal cycle problems where we seek a Hamilton cycle in the transition graph.

We define the map on the integers:

$$
s_{x}(i)= \begin{cases}i & \text { if } i<x \\ i+1 & \text { if } i \geq x\end{cases}
$$

We also, with a slight abuse of notation, write $s_{x}$ for the map constructed by applying this map coordinatewise to an $n$-tuple. That is,

$$
s_{x}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)=s_{x}\left(a_{1}\right) s_{x}\left(a_{2}\right) \ldots s_{x}\left(a_{n}\right)
$$

The point of this definition is that if $a=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} \in S_{n}$ is a permutation of $[n]$ and $x \in[n+1]$ then $s_{x}(a)$ is the unique $n$-tuple of elements of $[n+1] \backslash\{x\}$ which is order-isomorphic to $a$. Note that, as will become clear, this is
the definition we need even though our final construction will produce a ucycle for permutations of $[n]$ using alphabet $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

We also define a map $r$ on $n$-tuples which permutes the elements of the $n$-tuple cyclically. That is,

$$
r\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)=a_{2} a_{3} \ldots a_{n-1} a_{n} a_{1} .
$$

Note that $(a, r(a))$ is an edge of $G_{n}$ and that $r^{n}(a)=a$.
As indicated above, we prove Theorem 1 by constructing a cycle of length $n!$ in $G_{n}$ such that for each $a \in S_{n}$ the cycle contains a vertex which is order-isomorphic to $a$. Our approach is to find a collection of short cycles in $G_{n}$ which between them contain one vertex from each order-isomorphism class and to join them up. The joining up of the short cycles requires a slightly involved induction step which is where the main work lies.
Proof of Theorem 1. Step 1: Finding short cycles in $G_{n}$.
The first step is to find a collection of short cycles (each of length $n$ ) in $G_{n}$ which between them contain exactly one element from each order-isomorphism class of $S_{n}$. These cycles will use only $n$ elements from the alphabet and we will think of each cycle as being "labelled" with the remaining unused element. Suppose that for each $a=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1} \in S_{n-1}$ we choose a label $l(a)$ from [ $n$ ]. Let $0 a$ be the $n$-tuple $0 a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}$. We have the following cycle in $G_{n}$ :

$$
s_{l(a)}(0 a), r\left(s_{l(a)}(0 a)\right), r^{2}\left(s_{l(a)}(0 a)\right), \ldots, r^{n-1}\left(s_{l(a)}(0 a)\right)
$$

We denote this cycle by $\mathcal{C}(a, l(a))$. As an example, $\mathcal{C}(42135,2)$ is the following cycle in $G_{6}$ :

$$
053146 \rightarrow 531460 \rightarrow 314605 \rightarrow 146053 \rightarrow 460531 \rightarrow 605314 \rightarrow 053416,
$$

where arrows denote directed edges of $G_{6}$.
Note that for any choice of labels (that is any map $l$ ) the cycles $\mathcal{C}(a, l(a))$ and $\mathcal{C}(b, l(b))$ are disjoint when $a, b \in S_{n}$ are distinct. Consequently, whatever the choice of labels, the collection of cycles

$$
\bigcup_{a \in S_{n-1}} \mathcal{C}(a, l(a))
$$

is a disjoint union. It is easy to see that the vertices on these cycles contain between them exactly one $n$-tuple orderisomorphic to each permutation in $S_{n}$.

We must now show how, given a suitable labelling, we can join up these short cycles.
Step 2: Joining two of these cycles
Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{C}(a, x)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}=\mathcal{C}(b, y)$ are two of the cycles in $G_{n}$ described above. What conditions on $a, b$ and their labels $x, y$ will allow us to join these cycles?

We may assume that $x \leq y$. Suppose further that $1 \leq x \leq y-2 \leq n-1$, and that $a$ and $b$ satisfy the following:

$$
b_{i}= \begin{cases}a_{i} & \text { if } 1 \leq a_{i} \leq x-1 \\ a_{i}+1 & \text { if } x \leq a_{i} \leq y-2 \\ x & \text { if } a_{i}=y=1 \\ a_{i} & \text { if } y \leq a_{i} \leq n-1\end{cases}
$$

If this happens we will say that the pair of cycles $\mathcal{C}(a, x), \mathcal{C}(b, y)$ are linkable.
In this case $s_{x}(0 a)$ and $s_{y}(0 b)$ agree at all but one position; they differ only at the $t$ for which $a_{t}=y-1$ and $b_{t}=x$. It follows that there is a directed edge in $G_{n}$ from

$$
r^{t}\left(s_{x}(0 a)\right)=s_{x}\left(a_{t} \ldots a_{n-1} 0 a_{1} \ldots a_{t-1}\right)
$$

to

$$
r^{t+1}\left(s_{y}(0 b)\right)=s_{y}\left(b_{t+1} \ldots b_{n-1} 0 b_{1} \ldots b_{t}\right)
$$

Similarly, there is a directed edge in $G_{n}$ from

$$
r^{t}\left(s_{y}(0 b)\right)=s_{x}\left(b_{t} \ldots b_{n-1} 0 b_{1} \ldots b_{t-1}\right)
$$

to

$$
r^{t+1}\left(s_{x}(0 a)\right)=s_{y}\left(a_{t+1} \ldots a_{n-1} 0 a_{1} \ldots a_{t}\right)
$$



Fig. 1. The cycles $\mathcal{C}(42135,2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(23145,5)$ are linkable.
If we add these edges to $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$ and remove the edges

$$
r^{t}\left(s_{x}(0 a)\right) r^{t+1}\left(s_{x}(0 a)\right)
$$

and

$$
r^{t}\left(s_{y}(0 b)\right) r^{t+1}\left(s_{y}(0 b)\right),
$$

then we produce a single cycle of length $2 n$ whose vertices are precisely the vertices in $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$.
We remark that if $x=y-1$ then the other conditions imply that $a=b$ and so although we can perform a similar linking operation it is not useful. If $x=y$ then $b$ is not well defined.

As an example of the linking operation consider the linkable pair of 6 -cycles $\mathcal{C}(42135,2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(23145,5)$ in $G_{6}$. If we add the edges $531460 \rightarrow 314602$ and $231460 \rightarrow 314605$, and remove the edges $531460 \rightarrow 314605$ and $231460 \rightarrow 314602$ then a single cycle of length 12 in $G_{6}$ is produced. These cycles and the linking operation are shown in Fig. 1.
Step 3: Joining all of these cycles
We now show that this linking operation can be used repeatedly to join a collection of disjoint short cycles, one for each $a \in S_{n}$, together.

Let $H_{n}=(V, E)$ be the (undirected) graph with,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{(a, x): a \in S_{n-1}, x \in[n]\right\} \\
& E=\{(a, x)(b, y): \mathcal{C}(a, x), \mathcal{C}(b, y) \text { are linkable }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we can find a subtree $T_{n}$ of $H_{n}$ of order $(n-1)$ ! which contains exactly one vertex ( $a, x$ ) for each $a \in S_{n-1}$ then we will be able to construct the required cycle. Take any vertex $(a, l(a))$ of $T_{n}$ and consider the cycle $\mathcal{C}(a, l(a))$ associated with it. Consider also the cycles associated with all the neighbours in $T_{n}$ of $(a, l(a))$. The linking operation described above can be used to join the cycles associated with these neighbours to $\mathcal{C}(a, l(a))$. This is because the definition of adjacency in $H_{n}$ guarantees that we can join each of these cycles individually. Also, the fact that every vertex in $G_{n}$ has out-degree 2 means that the joining happens at different places along the cycle. That is if $(b, l(b)$ ) and $(c, l(c))$ are distinct neighbours of $(a, l(a))$ then the edge of $\mathcal{C}(a, l(a))$ which must be deleted to join $\mathcal{C}(b, l(b))$ to it is not the same as the one which must be deleted to join $\mathcal{C}(c, l(c))$ to it. We conclude that we can join all of the relevant cycles to the cycle associated with $(a, l(a))$. The connectivity of $T_{n}$ now implies that we can join all of the cycles associated with vertices of $T_{n}$ into one cycle. This is plainly a cycle with the required properties.

The next step is to find such a subtree in $H_{n}$.
Step 4: Constructing a Suitable Tree
We will prove, by induction on $n$, the stronger statement that for all $n \geq 5$, there is a subtree $T_{n}$ of $H_{n}$ of order ( $n-1$ )! which satisfies:

1. for all $a \in S_{n-1}$ there exists a unique $x \in[n]$ such that $(a, x) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$,
2. $(12 \ldots(n-1), 1) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$,
3. $(23 \ldots(k-1) 1(k)(k+1) \ldots(n-1), k) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ for all $3 \leq k \leq n$,
4. $(32145 \ldots(n-1), 2) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$,
5. $(243156 \ldots(n-1), 3) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$,
6. $v(31245 \ldots(n-1))$ is a leaf in $T_{n}$,
7. $v(24135 \ldots(n-1))$ is a leaf in $T_{n}$.


Fig. 2. A suitable choice for $T_{5}$.
Where, for a tree satisfying property 1 , we denote the unique vertex in $V\left(T_{n}\right)$ of the form $(a, x)$ by $v(a)$.
For $n=5$ a suitable tree can be found. One such is given in Fig. 2.
Suppose that $n \geq 5$ and that we have a subtree $T_{n}$ of the graph $H_{n}$ which satisfies the above conditions. We will use this to build a suitable subtree of $H_{n+1}$.

A key observation for our construction is that the map from $V\left(H_{n}\right)$ to $V\left(H_{n+1}\right)$ obtained by replacing each vertex $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}, x\right) \in V\left(H_{n}\right)$ by $\left(1\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right) \ldots\left(a_{n-1}+1\right), x+1\right) \in V\left(H_{n+1}\right)$ preserves adjacency. It follows that subgraphs of $H_{n}$ are mapped into isomorphic copies in $H_{n+1}$ by this map. Further, applying a fixed permutation to the coordinates of the $n$-tuple associated with each vertex of $H_{n+1}$ gives an automorphism of $H_{n+1}$ and so subgraphs of $H_{n+1}$ are mapped into isomorphic copies.

We take $n$ copies of $T_{n}$. These copies will be modified to form the building blocks for our subtree of $H_{n+1}$ as follows.

In the first copy we replace each vertex $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}, x\right)$ by

$$
\left(1\left(a_{3}+1\right)\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{4}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right)\left(a_{5}+1\right)\left(a_{6}+1\right) \ldots\left(a_{n-1}+1\right), x+1\right) .
$$

By the observation above this gives an isomorphic copy of $T_{n}$ in $H_{n+1}$. We denote this tree by $T_{n+1}^{(0)}$.
In the next copy we replace each vertex $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}, x\right)$ by

$$
\left(\left(a_{3}+1\right) 1\left(a_{2}+1\right)\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{4}+1\right)\left(a_{5}+1\right) \ldots\left(a_{n-1}+1\right), x+1\right) .
$$

We denote this tree by $T_{n+1}^{(1)}$.
For all $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, we take a new copy of $T_{n}$ and modify it as follows. We replace each vertex $\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}, x\right)$ by

$$
\left(\left(a_{k}+1\right)\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right) \ldots\left(a_{k-1}+1\right) 1\left(a_{k+1}+1\right) \ldots\left(a_{n-1}+1\right), x+1\right) .
$$

We denote these trees by $T_{n+1}^{(2)}, T_{n+1}^{(3)}, \ldots, T_{n+1}^{(n-1)}$.
As we mentioned this results in $n$ subtrees of $H_{n+1}$. They are clearly disjoint because the position in which 1 appears in the first coordinate of each vertex is distinct for distinct trees. Let $F_{n+1}$ be the $n$ component subforest of $H_{n+1}$ formed by taking the union of the trees $T_{n+1}^{(k)}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

It is also easy to see that for every $a \in S_{n}$ there is a vertex in $F_{n+1}$ of the form $(a, x)$ for some $x \in[n+1]$. It remains to show that the $n$ components can be joined up to form a single tree with the required properties.

Notice that it is a consequence of the construction of the $T_{n+1}^{(k)}$ that if $v\left(l_{1} l_{2} \ldots l_{n-1}\right)$ is a leaf in $T_{n}$ then the following vertices are all leaves in $F_{n+1}$ :

- $v\left(1\left(l_{3}+1\right)\left(l_{1}+1\right)\left(l_{4}+1\right)\left(l_{2}+1\right)\left(l_{5}+1\right)\left(l_{6}+1\right) \ldots\left(l_{n-1}+1\right)\right)$
- $v\left(\left(l_{3}+1\right) 1\left(l_{2}+1\right)\left(l_{1}+1\right)\left(l_{4}+1\right)\left(l_{5}+1\right) \ldots\left(l_{n-1}+1\right)\right)$
- $v\left(\left(l_{k}+1\right)\left(l_{1}+1\right)\left(l_{2}+1\right) \ldots\left(l_{k-1}+1\right) 1\left(l_{k+1}+1\right) \ldots\left(l_{n-1}+1\right)\right)$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.

We claim that $v(12 \ldots n)$ is a leaf in $T_{n+1}^{(0)}$, and hence a leaf in $F_{n+1}$. This follows from the fact that $v(24135 \ldots(n-$ 1)) is a leaf in $T_{n}$ and the remark above. We delete this vertex from $F_{n+1}$ to form a new forest.

The construction of the $T_{n+1}^{(k-1)}$ and the fact that $(23 \ldots(k-1) 1(k)(k+1) \ldots(n-1), k) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ for all $3 \leq k \leq n$ means that $(23 \ldots k 1(k+1)(k+2) \ldots n, k+1) \in V\left(F_{n+1}\right)$ for all $3 \leq k \leq n$. Further, the construction of the $T_{n+1}^{(1)}$ and the fact that $(32145 \ldots(n-1), 2) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ means that $(2134 \ldots n, 3) \in V\left(F_{n+1}\right)$.

We add to $F_{n+1}$ a new vertex $(123 \ldots n, 1)$ (this replaces the deleted vertex from $T_{n+1}^{(0)}$ ) and edges from this new vertex to $(23 \ldots k 1(k+1)(k+2) \ldots n, k+1)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$. The previous observation shows that all of these vertices are in $F_{n+1}$, and it is easy to check, using the definition of linkability, that the added edges are in $H_{n+1}$. This new forest has only two components.

Similarly, the inductive hypothesis that $v(31245 \ldots(n-1))$ is a leaf in $T_{n}$ gives that $v(342156 \ldots n)$ is a leaf in $F_{n+1}$ (using the case $k=3$ of the observation on leaves). We delete this leaf from the forest, replace it with a new vertex $(342156 \ldots n, 1)$, and add edges from this new vertex to $(341256 \ldots n, 3)$ and ( $143256 \ldots n, 4$ ). The construction of $T_{n+1}^{(2)}$ and the fact that $(32145 \ldots(n-1), 2) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ ensures that the first of these vertices is in $F_{n+1}$. The construction of $T_{n+1}^{(0)}$ and the fact that $(243156 \ldots(n-1), 3) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ ensures that the second of these vertices is in our modified $T_{n+1}^{(0)}$.

These modifications to $F_{n+1}$ produce a forest of one component-that is a tree. Denote this tree by $T_{n+1}$. We will be done if we can show that $T_{n+1}$ satisfies the properties demanded.

Plainly, $T_{n+1}$ contains exactly one vertex of the form ( $a, x$ ) for each $a \in S_{n}$. By construction ( $12 \ldots n, 1$ ), and $(23 \ldots t 1(t+1)(t+2) \ldots n, t+1)$ are vertices of $T_{n+1}$ for all $2 \leq t \leq n$. Hence the first three properties are satisfied.

The construction of $T_{n+1}^{(2)}$ and the fact that $(123 \ldots(n-1), 1) \in \bar{V}\left(T_{n}\right)$ ensures that $(32145 \ldots n, 2)$ is a vertex of $T_{n+1}$. The construction of $T_{n+1}^{(3)}$ and the fact that $(32145 \ldots(n-1), 2) \in V\left(T_{n}\right)$ ensures that $(243156 \ldots n, 3)$ is a vertex of $T_{n+1}$. Hence properties 4 and 5 are satisfied.

Finally, the construction of $T_{n+1}^{(1)}$ and the fact that $v(31245 \ldots(n-1))$ is a leaf in $T_{n}$ ensures that $v(31245 \ldots n)$ is a leaf in $F_{n+1}$. The modifications which $F_{n+1}$ undergoes do not change this and so it is a leaf in $T_{n+1}$. The construction of $T_{n+1}^{(2)}$ and the fact that $v(31245 \ldots(n-1))$ is a leaf in $T_{n}$ ensures that $v(241356 \ldots n)$ is a leaf in $F_{n+1}$. Again, the modifications to $F_{n+1}$ do not change this and so this vertex is still a leaf in $T_{n+1}$. Hence properties 6 and 7 are satisfied.

We conclude that the tree $T_{n+1}$ has the required properties. This completes the construction.

## 3. Bounds on the number of universal cycles

Having constructed a ucycle for $S_{n}$ over the alphabet $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ it is natural to ask how many such ucycles exist. We will regard words which differ only by a cyclic permutation as the same so we normalize our universal cycles by insisting that the first $n$ entries give a word order-isomorphic to $12 \ldots n$. We denote by $U(n)$ the number of words of length $n$ ! over the alphabet $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$ which contain exactly one cyclic interval order-isomorphic to each permutation in $S_{n}$ and for which the first $n$ entries form a word which is order-isomorphic to $12 \ldots n$. There is a natural upper bound which is essentially exponential in $n$ ! based on the fact that if we are writing down the word one letter at a time we have 2 choices for each letter. We can also show that there is enough choice in the construction of the previous section to prove a lower bound which is exponential in $(n-1)!$. It is slightly surprising that our construction gives a lower bound which is this large. However, the upper and lower bounds are still far apart and we have no idea where the true answer lies.

## Theorem 2.

$$
420^{\frac{(n-1)!}{24}} \leq U(n) \leq(n+1) 2^{n!-n} .
$$

Proof. Suppose we write down our universal cycle one letter at a time. We must start by writing down a word of length $n$ which is order-isomorphic to $12 \ldots n$; there are $n+1$ ways of doing this. For each of the next $n!-n$ entries we must not choose any of the previous ( $n-1$ ) entries (all of which are distinct) and so we have 2 choices for each entry. This gives the required upper bound.

Now for the lower bound. We will give a lower bound on the number of subtrees of $H_{n}$ which satisfies the conditions of step 4 of the proof of Theorem 1. It can be checked that if a universal cycle comes from a subtree of $H_{n}$ in the way
described then the tree is determined by the universal cycle. It follows that the number of such trees is a lower bound for $U(n)$.

Notice that if we have $t_{n}$ such subtrees of $H_{n}$ then we have at least $t_{n}^{n}$ such subtrees of $H_{n+1}$. This is because in our construction we took $n$ copies of $T_{n}$ to build $T_{n+1}$ from and each different set of choices yields a different tree. We conclude that the number of subtrees of $H_{n}$ satisfying the conditions is at least

$$
t_{5}^{5 \times 6 \times \cdots \times n-1}=t_{5}^{\frac{(n-1)!}{24}}
$$

Finally, we bound $t_{5}$. We modify the given $T_{5}$ be adding edges from $(1432,1)$ to $(2143,5)$, from $(3421,1)$ to $(4132,5)$ and from $(4231,2)$ to $(4321,4)$. This graph is such that any of its spanning trees satisfies the properties for our $T_{5}$. It can be checked that this graph has 420 spanning trees. This gives the lower bound.
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